Case 2:15-ap-01490-RK Doc 45 Filed 03/29/17 Entered 03/29/17 13:01:52 Desc Main Document Page 1 of 2

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

٧.

Catalino Castillo,

23

24

2526

27

28

MAR 29 2017

CLERK U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
Central District of California
BY bakchell DEPUTY CLERK

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA LOS ANGELES DIVISION

CHAPTER 7 In re: Case No.: 2:15-bk-22018-RK Catalino Castillo, Adv. No.: 2:15-ap-01490-RK ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION Debtor. TO COMPEL DEFENDANT TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS Date: March 28, 2017 2:30 PM Time: SCE Federal Credit Union, Courtroom: 1675 Plaintiff.

Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Defendant to Respond to Request for Production of Documents and for Monetary Sanctions ("motion") filed on February 28, 2017 (ECF 41)

Defendant.

Case 2:15-ap-01490-RK Doc 45 Filed 03/29/17 Entered 03/29/17 13:01:52 Desc Main Document Page 2 of 2

is hereby DENIED. Plaintiff has not complied with the "meet and confer" requirements of Local Bankruptcy Rule ("LBR") 7026-1. Plaintiff's purported "meet and confer" letter is simply a demand letter with no *bona fide* effort to arrange for a discovery dispute motion prefiling conference between the parties and for the parties to meet and confer by telephone or in person in such a prefiling conference. Plaintiff did not meet and confer with defendant, the responding party, by telephone or in person to resolve the discovery dispute in good faith and made no attempt to arrange such a conference. Merely extending time for defendant as respondent to comply and demanding his compliance is not equivalent to what LBR 7026-1 requires, i.e., an actual meeting of the parties by telephone or in person in a good faith effort to resolve the discovery dispute with plaintiff making the arrangements for such a conference before any discovery dispute was brought. Plaintiff absolutely failed in its effort to satisfy these procedural requirements of LBR 7026-1. The denial of the motion is without prejudice to plaintiff complying with LBR 7026-1 in letter and in spirit.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

###

Date: March 29, 2017

Robert Kwan

United States Bankruptcy Judge